

## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 MOSCOW 11654 181651Z

43

ACTION IO-10

INFO OCT-01 AF-06 ARA-06 EUR-12 EA-07 NEA-10 ISO-00 SSO-00

NSCE-00 USIE-00 INRE-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-04 H-02

INR-07 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05 PA-01 PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15

SAM-01 OES-03 IOE-00 /096 W  
----- 104328

O 181525Z AUG 75

FM AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 3439

INFO AMEMBASSY ABIDJAN

AMEMBASSY ADDIS ABABA

AMEMBASSY BAMAKO

AMEMBASSY BELGRADE

AMEMBASSY CANBERRA

AMEMBASSY COPENHAGEN

AMEMBASSY DAMASCUS

AMEMBASSY DAR ES SALAAM

AMEMBASSY FREETOWN

AMEMBASSY JAKARTA

AMEMBASSY KABUL

AMEMBASSY NEW DELHI

USLO PEKING

AMEMBASSY PORT OF SPAIN

AMEMBASSY PRAGUE

AMEMBASSY SANTIAGO

AMEMBASSY SOFIA

AMEMBASSY SUVA

AMEMBASSY TEHRAN

AMEMBASSY TUNIS

USMISSION USUN IMMEDIATE

C O N F I D E N T I A L MOSCOW 11654

E.O. 11652 GDS

TAGS: PFOR, UN, UR, US, PR

SUBJECT: U.S. DEMARCHE ON PUERTO RICO IN COMMITTEE OF 24

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 MOSCOW 11654 181651Z

REF: A. STATE 195241 B. USUN 3684 C. STATE 194115

1. PURSUANT TO REF C, IN ABSENCE DEPUTY MINISTERS, I CALLED ON MFA USA DIVISION DIRECTOR KORNIYENKO AUGUST 18 AT 1415 MOSCOW TIME. REFERRING TO THE RESOLUTION ON PUERTO RICO SUBMITTED AUGUST 14 TO THE COMMITTEE OF 24, I SAID THE ISSUE WAS AN IMPORTANT ONE FOR US AND THAT WE HAD SERIOUS PROBLEMS WITH THIS RESOLUTION, BECAUSE IT WRONGLY IMPLIES THAT THE PUERTO RICAN PEOPLE HAVE NOT YET BEEN GIVEN AN OPPORUTNITY FOR SELF-DETERMINATION AND PROPOSES TO RECOGNIZE AS REPRESENTATIVES OF PUERTO RICAN ASPIRATIONS A SO-CALLED MOVEMENT WHICH HAS BEEN OVERWHELMINGLY REJECTED BY THE PUERTO RICANS THEMSELVES. I SAID I UNDERSTOOD A VOTE WOULD PROBABLY BE TAKEN TOMORROW.

2. I THEN WENT OVER THE POINTS CONTAINED IN PARAS A THROUGH D OF REF C, REVISED TO REFLECT ACTUAL TEXT OF RESOLUTION AS CONTAINED REF B AND DEPARTMENT'S COMMENTS REF A.

3. I THEN INFORMED KORNIYENKO I WAS INSTRUCTED TO SAY THAT THE UNITED STATES WOULD TAKE VERY STRONG EXCEPTION TO SUPPORT BY THE SOVIET GOVERNMENT FOR THIS RESOLUTION, AND THAT SUCH SUPPORT COULD NOT BE WITHOUT EFFECT ON OUR RELATIONS WITH THE SOVIET GOVERNMENT.

4. AFTER ASKING ME TO REPEAT LANGUAGE OF STATEMENT IN PARA 3 ABOVE, KORNIYENKO REPLIED MILDLY THAT THE SOVIET POSITON ON THIS QUESTION WAS WELL-KNOWN TO THE U.S. THE SOVIET LEADERSHIP PROCEEDS FROM THE POSITION THAT THE 1960 DECOLONIZATION DELCARATION ALSO RELATES TO PUERTO RICO. (HE ALSO NOTED THAT THE 1973 SPECIAL REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE OF 24 HAD BEEN POSITIVELY RECEIVED BY THE UNGA.) THAT WAS A POSITION OF PRINCIPLE. PRACTICALLY, HE NOTED, THE SOVIETS ARE NOT VERY ACTIVE WITH REGARD TO THIS ISSUE.

5. KORNIYENKO, EMPHASIZING HE WAS SPEAKING "OFF THE CUFF", CONTRASTED OUR VIEWS ON PUERTO RICO WITH OUR STAND ON THE BALTIC REPULICS. THE U.S. RECOGNIZED THE USSR, AND THE BALTIC REPULBICS WERE INTEGRAL PARTS OF THE USSR; NEVERTHELESS, THE U.SM SUPPORTED SO-CALLED REPRESENTATIVES OF THE BALTIC STATES IN WASHINGTON AND STATEMENTS ABOUT BALITC INDEPENDENCE WERE OFTEN MADE BY U.S. OFFICIALS, RECETLY AT A VERY HIGH LEVEL. KORNIYENKO  
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 MOSCOW 11654 181651Z

SAID HE WAS NOT TYING THE QUESTION OF THE BALITC STATES TO PUERTO RICO, BUT WE SHOULD BE AWARE OF THEIR VIEWS ON THE BALTIC STATES.

6. KORNIYENKO REITERATED THAT THE SOVIETS HAD NOT BEEN TAKING A LEADING ROLE ON THE PUERTO RICAN QUESTION IN THE COMMITTEE OF 24 AND HE THOUGHT THE U.S. SHOULD NOT BE VERY DISSATISFIED WITH THE SOVIET POSITION. TO MENTION ITS ADVERSE EFFECT ON BILATERAL RELATIONS SEEMED EXAGGERATED, SINCE MANY MORE BASIC ISSUES WERE

IN PLAY. THE OFFICIAL SOVIET POSITION REMAINED WHAT IT WAS, I.E., THAT THE 1960 DECOLONIZATION DECLARATION RELATED ALSO TO PUERTO RICO. KORNIYENKO SAID THIS COMMENT CONSTITUTED A PRELIMINARY REACTION, AND HE WOULD OF COURSE PASS ON THE U.S. DEMARCH TO HIS LEADERSHIP.

7. I AGAIN NOTED THE DEPTH OF FEELING RAISED BY THIS ISSUE, AND SAID I REGRETTED WHAT HE HAD SAID IF IT INDICATED THERE WOULD BE NO CHANGE IN THE SOVIET POSITION. WE BELIEVED THE RESOLUTION NOW BEFORE THE COMMITTEE OF 24, ESPECIALLY AS IT RELATED TO RECOGNITION OF A NATIONAL LIBERATION MOVEMENT, IS UNACCEPTABLE. WITH REGARD TO HIS COMMENTS CONCERNING THE BALTIC REPUBLICS, I SAW NO CONNECTION BETWEEN THEM AND THE PUERTO RICAN ISSUE. KORNIYENKO REPLIED THAT HE WAS NOT LINKING THESE TWO QUESTIONS. STOESEL

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** SELFDETERMINATION, LIBERATION FRONTS  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 18 AUG 1975  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** MartinML  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1975MOSCOW11654  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** GS  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** D750285-0012  
**From:** MOSCOW  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1975/newtext/t19750844/aaaabmbk.tel  
**Line Count:** 129  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Office:** ACTION IO  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 3  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** 75 STATE 195241, 75 USUN NEW YORK 3684, 75 STATE 194115  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** MartinML  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 02 MAY 2003  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <02 MAY 2003 by ShawDG>; APPROVED <24 SEP 2003 by MartinML>  
**Review Markings:**

Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
06 JUL 2006

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** U.S. DEMARCHE ON PUERTO RICO IN COMMITTEE OF 24 CONFIDENTIAL  
**TAGS:** PFOR, UR, US, RQ, UN, COMMITTEE OF 24, (KORNIYENKO)  
**To:** STATE  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006